Application No. 10/767,537 .

Reply to Office Action: dated May 9, 2005

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. ELTE 02842 PTUS

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS** 

The application has been carefully reconsidered in view of the Final Office Action of

May 9, 2005. Applicant offers the following remarks in support of allowance, as directed

to Examiner's specific rejections.

Claim 1 has been amended to include additional distinctive features of the invention.

Claims 3-11 have been cancelled. Claim 12 has been amended to depend from amended

claim 1. Claim 13-15 are cancelled.

Claims Rejection Under 35 USC-102

3. Ng discloses bridge for attaching auxiliary lenses which comprises a primary lens

assembly 32 comprising a primary bridge 34 attached between primary lenses, an auxiliary

lens assembly comprising 20, an auxiliary bridge 60 attached between auxiliary lenses 50,

and the flange 36 on the front of the primary bridge, the auxiliary bridge 60 having an

expandable clip (upper projection 62 and lower projection 64); and, whereas the clip of the

auxiliary bridge 60 is expandable to engage the bridge of the primary bridge 34, further

comprising: a leg portion 44 attached to each primary lens; a flange 36 on the front of the

primary bridge 34, and whereas the clip is expandable onto the flange whereas the flange

36 is locatable in the clip in a complimentary fit of their respective cross-section

perimeters, a profusion 66 located on the auxiliary bridge, whereas the projection is a

spherical segment, a projection 66 located on the auxiliary bridge, a complementary relief

40 located on the flange 36, whereas the protrusion 66 is locatable in the relief 40 when

the clip is located on the flange.

Response to Applicant's Argument

1. Applicant's arguments filed 2/25/05 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

- 4 -

Applicant argued that "By having a complimentary fit .... In order words, the

present invention of Claim provides both horizontal and vertical support forces which Ng

does not provide. The combination of these two forces, thus, decreases the likelihood of

disengagement of when either horizontal or vertical separating forces are applied during

usage." This argument is not persuasive because figures 1-4 of Ng (6,474,810) show that

protrusions 66 is locatable in a relief 40 (vertical surface) and the upper and lower

projections 62 and 64 being complimentary fit over the upper and the lower flange 36

respectively (horizontal surface). Ng (6,474,810) teaches that both horizontal and vertical

Therefore, the claimed invention does not distinguish over the Ng support forces.

(6,474,810).

APPLICANT'S R ESPONSE

Examiner recites various elements that Ng discloses. However, Examiner states

that Ng discloses:

"...whereas the flange 36 is locatable in the clip in a complimentary fit of their

respective cross-section perimeters...".

Applicant respectfully directs Examiner to the disclosure of Ng, FIG. 1, which is a side

view of the invention of Ng.1 This view is properly compared to Applicants FIG. 12, which

is a side view of the invention of the Applicant. As shown in Applicant's FIG. 12 and as

claimed by Applicant's currently amended Claim 1, neither Ng's FIG. 1 or specification

disclose a complementary fit of matching radial surfaces. To the contrary, Ng specifically

teaches the use of a "vertical face" having an "interference fit" with a "...[R]earward

<sup>1</sup> U.S. Pat. No. 6,474,810 B1; col. 3, ln. 6-7.

<sup>2</sup> U.S. Pat. No. 6,474,810 B1; col. 2, ln. 32.

- 5 -

auxiliary bridge face. 3 The distinction is manifest in the function and performance of the

invention, as explained in detail in Applicant's specification, including at least, at

paragraph numbers 0060 - 0062. As disclosed therein:

"Interior radius 224 reduces potentially detrimental stress concentrations between

upper panel 214 and rear panel 218, and as between lower panel 216 and rear

panel 218 when clip 212 is expanded. This reduction in stress concentrations

increases the life and reliability of clip 212, permitting greater expansion of clip

212, and thus greater retaining force between primary lens assembly 100 and

auxiliary lens assembly 200.4

"...[C]urved surfaces of radiused end 124 and projection 222 provide a longer

engagement surface for a smooth acceleration of upper panel 214 and lower panel

216 during expansion of clip 212."5

The longer engagement surfaces between radiused end 124 and projection 222 are

mathematically determinable as a double sine relationship. The acceleration of expansion

of clip 210 is derived from the velocity of the horizontal engagement, and provides an

optimized snapping engagement between the components.

In comparison, and in significant contrast, the only matching curved surfaces disclosed

by Ng are in planes orthogonal to that disclosed and claimed by the Applicant. Ng

discloses matching curved surfaces (38 and 70) in FIG. 2, which is a top view. Ng also

discloses matching curved surfaces (62, 64 and 34) in FIG.'s 3 -5, which are rear views

<sup>3</sup> U.S. Pat. No. 6,474,810 B1; col. 2, ln. 32-34.

<sup>4</sup> U.S. Appl. 10/767,537; Paragraph [0060]

<sup>5</sup> U.S. Appl. 10/767,537; Paragraph [0061]

- 6 -

Application No. 10/767,537 ...

Reply to Office Action: dated May 9, 2005

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. ELTE 02842 PTUS

and a front view respectively.6 Thus understood, the focus of Applicant's invention

relates to the relationship between elements in a different plane, specifically, in the plane

of engagement, or the side view. Again, the functional distinction is detailed in

Applicant's specificatio n.7

Additionally, Ng discloses only an "interference fit. In engineering terms, the concepts

of an "interference fit" and a "complementary fit" of the present invention are

fundamentally distinct. A complementary fit defines substantially matching profiles, which

is a criteria unnecessary to an interference fit, which requires only a literal large square peg

in a small round hole, to be "tight" and interfering. In further support of the distinction,

Applicant again respectfully directs Examiner's attention to FIG. 1 of Ng, in which

surfaces 38 and 70 are not complementary in fit. This is clearly contrasted to surfaces of

122, 124, 222, and 224 of FIG. 12 of the Applicant's invention, in which a

complementary fit is illustrated, and for which detailed support of the functional

differences is found in abundance in the specification. As disclosed therein:

"...[I]nterior radius 224 is receivable of radiused end 124 of flange 110 in

complementary fit. As best seen in FIG. 12, radiused end 124 permits full

complementary engagement of flange 112 within slot 220, and thus greater

stability of auxiliary lens assembly 200 to primary lens assembly 100."8

The complementary fit of these surfaces in the illustrated plane result in support forces

distinct from those disclosed by Ng. As shown, the summary reference to "vertical" and

<sup>6</sup> U.S. Pat. No. 6,474,810 B1; col. 3, In. 10-13.

<sup>7</sup> U.S. Appl. 10/767,537; Paragraph [0060] - [0062].

<sup>8</sup> U.S. Appl. 10/767,537; Paragraph [0062].

-7-

Application No. 10/767,537 -

Reply to Office Action: dated May 9, 2005

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. ELTE 02842 PTUS

"horizo ntal" forces in Ng does not eliminate the distinctions between the inventions in

three dimensions.

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits that the amendments made remove the only stated

Applicant respectfully grounds for rejection and objection of the Applicant's claims.

submits that this invention is patentable over the prior art, and consideration of this

application and its early allowance are requested.

Applicant(s) do(es) not believe that any fees are due; however, in the event that

any fees are due, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any required fees due

(other than issue fees), and to credit any overpayment made, in connection with the filing

of this paper to Deposit Account 50-2180 of Storm LLP.

Respectfully submitted

By:

Registration No. 57,191

Bank of America Plaza

901 Main Street, Suite 7100

Dallas, TX 75202

(214) 347-4763

(214) 347-4799 (fax)

-8-